



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/671,577	09/29/2003	Wei-Jong Chiu	AMI-2627	9970

7590 07/22/2005

Wei-Jong Chiu
P.O. Box 90
Tainan City, 704
TAIWAN

EXAMINER

HAN, JASON

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2875

DATE MAILED: 07/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/671,577	Applicant(s) CHIU, WEI-JONG	
	Examiner Jason M. Han	Art Unit 2875	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☒ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 2 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 1-2 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 29 September 2003 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

A patent abstract is a concise statement of the technical disclosure of the patent and should include that which is new in the art to which the invention pertains. If the patent is of a basic nature, the entire technical disclosure may be new in the art, and the abstract should be directed to the entire disclosure. If the patent is in the nature of an improvement in an old apparatus, process, product, or composition, the abstract should include the technical disclosure of the improvement. In certain patents, particularly those for compounds and compositions, wherein the process for making and/or the use thereof are not obvious, the abstract should set forth a process for making and/or use thereof. If the new technical disclosure involves modifications or alternatives, the abstract should mention by way of example the preferred modification or alternative.

The abstract should not refer to purported merits or speculative applications of the invention and should not compare the invention with the prior art.

Where applicable, the abstract should include the following:

- (1) if a machine or apparatus, its organization and operation;
- (2) if an article, its method of making;
- (3) if a chemical compound, its identity and use;
- (4) if a mixture, its ingredients;
- (5) if a process, the steps.

Extensive mechanical and design details of apparatus should not be given.

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because applicant uses claim language rather than a brief, concise description of the technical aspect of the invention.

Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

3. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- a. Page 1, Line 6: grammatical error – please rewrite to read “easily portable”;

- b. Page 1, Lines 12-13: grammatical error – please rewrite to read “Thus, only one hand can pick an object up from the pocket”;

Art Unit: 2875

- c. Page 1, Line 17: grammatical error – do not omit the ‘ly’ ending of an adverb – “convenient” should read as “conveniently”;
- d. Page 1, Line 19: grammatical error – please revise to read as “To achieve the above object”;
- e. Page 1, Lines 19-20: grammatical error – please rewrite to read as “an illuminating pocket” – the article ‘an’ should be used when the next word begins with a vowel sound;
- f. Page 2, Line 1: grammatical error – please rewrite to read as “the battery”;
- g. Page 2, Line 8: grammatical error – “drawing” should read as “drawings”;
- h. Page 2, Lines 20-21: applicant should remain consistent with the language of Page 2, Line 1 and should rewrite to read as “A lateral side of the inner bag 12 has an opening 121”;
- i. Page 2, Lines 25-26: please rewrite to read as “The illuminating device 2 has a plate body 20, an illuminating lamp 21, and a battery seat 22”;
- j. Page 3, Line 7: grammatical error – please rewrite to read as “the battery”;
- k. Page 3, Line 10: grammatical error – please rewrite to read as “protrudes”;
- l. Page 3, Line 11: please rewrite to read similarly as Figures 1-2, “The switch 220 protrudes downwards and out”;
- m. Page 3, Lines 17-18: please rewrite to read “By pushing the switch 220 to an ON position, the illuminating lamp 21 will illuminate”;
- n. Page 3, Line 22: grammatical error – please rewrite “comfortable” to read as “comfortably” to make it an adverb rather an adjective;

Art Unit: 2875

- o. Page 3, Line 27: grammatical error – please rewrite to read as “without the use of flashlights”;

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

4. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 4 of the claim, applicant should remain consistent with the specification and should rewrite to read as “a lateral side of the inner bag”. Appropriate correction is required.

5. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 7 of the claim, applicant recites the limitation, “the plate body”, which lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required, such as rewriting to read “a plate body”.

6. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 8 of the claim, applicant recites the limitation, “the battery seat”, which lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required, such as rewrite to read “a battery seat”.

7. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 9 of the claim, applicant recites the limitation, “the notch”, which lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required, such as rewrite to read “a notch”.

8. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In line 11 of the claim, applicant recites the limitation, “the opening”, which lacks antecedent basis. Appropriate correction is required, such as rewrite to read “an opening”.

Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: Grammatical error – in line 11 of the claim, please rewrite to read as “so that the battery can be updated easily”.

Appropriate correction is required.

Allowable Subject Matter

9. Claims 1-2 are allowed.

10. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance:

With regards to independent Claim 1, the applicant has sufficiently claimed and narrowly defined an illuminating pocket, wherein an illuminating lamp connects to a plate body and protrudes upwards to be out of an inner bag, as well as a battery seat being protruded with a switch such that said switch protrudes out of a notch of the inner bag and one end of said battery seat has a battery cover that protrudes out of an opening of the inner bag so that the battery can be easily updated. The prior art fails to teach or suggest the combination of structural elements disclosed and claimed herein, and all subsequent dependent claims are allowed.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

The following references are cited to further show the state of the art pertinent to the current application, but are not considered exhaustive:

US Patent 2334084 to Gold et al;

US Patent 3800134 to Castaldo;

US Patent 5444605 to Rivera;

US Patent 6099140 to Norris.

Art Unit: 2875

This application is in condition for allowance except for the following formal matters addressed above with respect to objections to the specification and claims.

Prosecution on the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.


A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire **TWO MONTHS** from the mailing date of this letter.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason M. Han whose telephone number is (571) 272-2207. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on (571) 272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

JMH (7/12/2005)


Stephen Husar
Primary Examiner